	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/610,118	BUSFIELD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong Huynh	1644
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowance		
(1) Phuong Huynh.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean M Silveri</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
272, 274, 276 and 277		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Ar		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)
(opinos	i i	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)